Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/771,673	SPREY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Sylvia R MacArthur.	(3) <u>Adeel Akhtar</u> .	
(2) <u>Zi Wong</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 15 and 28		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a wr te record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
Spollad		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Wong and Mr. Akhtar to explain that the case was in condiition of allowance except that claims 15 and 28 which do not distinguish piping system from gaskets, flanges, etc which are typically constructed of a different material that the pipes. Mr. Wong asked that the examiner submit an examiner's amendment to insert "walls of" the auxilliary chamber and "walls of" the piping system. He stated that support can be seen on page 5 and is described on Fig. 1.